

THE PHILANTHROPIST,
PUBLISHED BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF
THE OHIO STATE ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY.
Main st., between 4th & 5th, East side.
CINCINNATI, OHIO.

SAMUEL A. ALLEY, Printer.

TERMS.—TWO DOLLARS a year in advance. Letters on business should be directed to the Publishing Agent, WILLIAM DEARLOVE—those relating to the editorial department, to the EDITOR.—In all cases post paid.

Terms of Advertising.

one square of 16 lines, or less, one insertion, \$ 0 50
" " each additional insertion, 0 30
" " for 6 months, without alteration 5 00
" " with alterations, 6 00
" " 1 year " 10 00
A discount of 20 per cent. on all advertisements occupying one half, two-thirds or an entire column.

For the Philanthropist.
TO THE PEOPLE OF CLINTON COUNTY.

FELLOW CITIZENS.—Although not officially required, by our appointment as the central of the Liberty party of Clinton county, to prepare an address to you, circumstances of the present time, and prognostications for the future, impel us to offer a few remarks for your consideration. The necessity for this in degree, some may suppose entirely, is superseded by the address the Liberty convention recently held in Columbus. To that document we referred in the resolutions adopted at our county meeting, February 21st; as containing our principles: upon some of the subjects therein discussed, and upon other and kindred topics, we desire to confer with you in our own style and manner.

The existence of slavery in our country, is the cause of our separating from the parties which now include the great mass of our fellow-citizens. To that system which reduces human beings to the condition of chattels, we, as individuals, proclaim our eternal and active hostility, as well as morally, let its location be in what portion of the Union it may, for its influences can never be local. Without such hostility, we could lay no claim to address you as republicans, and christians.

After these preliminary observations, we proceed to show you first; *Why* we are in the field as a political party for the overthrow of slavery, and then what measures we propose to advocate for its accomplishment.

Could we divest ourselves of all belief in those moral precepts which teach the duty of man to his neighbor, the instinct of self-preservation alone would compel us to assume our present position in politics. We look upon our country as she is—untrammelled in her natural resources, abounding in all the elements of prosperity, need only the labor of man for their development, without an over-abundance of population, with political institutions faithfully administered, of writing comparative cost, and yet, suffering under a pressure of pecuniary difficulties, involving the nation as well as most individuals, and with no rational prospect of a speedy change for the better.

For this gloomy state of affairs there must be a cause, and that cause must be a different one from those variously assigned by interested politicians, and heated partisans, since none of their attempted remedies have yet had the least tendency to alleviate the general distress. That slavery is the cause, we believe, because the natural and unavoidable tendency of the institution is to render labor disreputable, and consequently to accumulate upon the soil where it exists, a greater number of consumers than the producers of wealth can support. Secondly, because the statistics of our country, so far as they are published, prove the fact that the Southern portion of our Union, where slavery exists, falls in debt annually to an immense amount to the non-slaveholding portion, which debts never will, for they never can, be cancelled. The amount of the drainage of the North, for the support of the aristocracy of the South in idleness and luxury, is variously estimated at from 50 millions, to 100 millions of dollars annually. Let us not be supposed extravagant in setting it down at the larger amount. A sober calculation will show us that this is probably within the truth. We find by the census tables of 1840, that the population of the slaveholding States is, in round numbers, about seven millions of souls. Of these we will assume that three millions, (rather within the mark) are slaves, leaving four millions of free persons. Let us then suppose that only the half of these, or two millions, are so influenced by the existence of slavery as to decline to labor for their own support, and that, we think all who are acquainted with the state of society at the South, will admit to be a moderate estimate of their numbers. From the habits of extravagance natural to those who spend what others earn, we may suppose two hundred dollars per annum to be a low estimate for the expenses of the individuals composing these two millions. Here then is an annual sum of four hundred millions of dollars, to be produced for the support of those who do nothing for themselves! Now let us see by calculation, if it be possible for the slaves after supporting themselves, to earn this enormous sum. Out of three millions of slaves, let us suppose two-thirds, or two millions, are effective laborers. After supplying their own wants and those of a million unlaborious slaves, (so far as they are supplied) we will average their individual additional earnings at one hundred and fifty dollars per annum; thus we see they produce three hundred millions for non-producers. What is there unreasonable, or erroneous, in any of the assumptions of this calculation?

It may be said that admitting its correctness, it does not prove that the deficit of one hundred millions, comes from the non-slaveholding states. For evidence in support of this opinion, we refer you to the statistics of certain portions of our country, which have been published on the best authority. From these it appears that Lynn, a small town in Massachusetts, engaged in the shoe trade alone, has lost in a very short period of time three millions of dollars by her commerce with the South. Newark in New Jersey, in her harness, carriages, &c., shipped to the same region, has been a loser to a great amount. The debts due at the South to the city of New York alone, and which it is admitted can never be collected,

* The slave population is 2,400,000.—The number of slave-laborers cannot be more than 2,000,000.—En.

CINCINNATI, WEDNESDAY, MAY 11, 1842.

WHOLE NO. 304

are estimated at one hundred millions of dollars. From the nature of the case, absolute certainty cannot be arrived at in these estimates, but enough is known to make our calculation appear quite within the bounds of probability, when the business of the whole country is taken into view. In our investigations into this subject, we must bear in mind that the principal market for, and consumption of the surplus products of labor of the free states, is at the South, and that a large proportion of the business of the country must necessarily be conducted upon the credit system. By coupling these facts, we perceive how extensive is the opportunity for the people of that section of the country, to obtain our goods and afterwards to refuse to pay for them. Should any imagine this too gross an imputation upon the integrity of slaveholders, we beg such to consider, that our accusation falls far short of the facts proclaimed by their own laws, which institute a licensed system of plunder, and robbery, with many other enormities added thereto. Integrity of character among them would be a miracle under the teachings of their institutions. It would be the height of folly to expect honesty from them in their dealings with us, when we perceive they not only rob their neighbors, and that continually, but many of them are known to sell their own flesh and blood for gain.

Here then is a cause independent of a depreciated currency, of the absence of a tariff, and a consequent balance of trade against us, which will in a great degree account for our pecuniary sufferings. But we think it not impossible to shew that to the existence of slavery, may fairly be attributed the absence of a sound currency, and of a protective tariff, principally relied upon by one set of politicians to account for the "hard times," and the creation of a host of irresponsible banks, and of a speculating, overtrading mania in the community, assumed by the politicians of the other party, to be the root of all the mischief. It will render this communication too long to enter at present, into a consideration of the evidence which sustain us in this opinion; suffice it to say, that the control which the slaveholding aristocracy has exercised for the last twenty years, if no longer, over all the operations of the general government, has been as complete as it is notorious.

It will be found by an examination into the tendencies of domestic slavery, that it diverts labor into a few channels, producing a large amount of certain great staple articles for sale, and that slave labor cannot profitably be employed in common agricultural operations. As a consequence of this tendency, we find the slave labor in our country, principally employed in the culture of cotton, sugar, tobacco, rice, and the rearing of human flesh for the slave market, to the neglect of provisions, clothing and such mechanical appurtenances as are necessary. For a supply of these, the master depends upon the free laborer of the north, to whom he sells in return but a small portion of his staple productions, and these at least, cotton, and sugar, under the protection of a high tariff. Hence a diversity, even a conflict of interests, is created in our country, by the existence of slavery. It is the interest of the slaveholder at all times, to depress the value of the products of free labor of which he is purchaser. Having the control of the government, by means of the representation permitted to property in the form of slaves, the aristocracy first protect their own staples, by a tariff, then through the diplomacy of the country in foreign lands, make every effort to introduce the articles produced by their own system of labor, at the lowest possible rate of duties, while they actually endeavor to shut out the productions of free labor, from all ingress into foreign markets, that they may purchase what they need of them, at their own prices at home. While Mr. Stevenson, our late Minister to England, labored assiduously to obtain, and succeeded in procuring a reduction of duty upon Southern rice, entering the ports of Great Britain, he gave his influence against the repeal of the corn laws, which shut out our bread stuffs from the consumption of the starving operatives of their kingdom. A special agent was sent at the cost of the nation, to negotiate for the introduction of tobacco at a lower rate of duties into Germany, at the bidding of the tobacco planters convention of Maryland, and Mr. Jenifer who was president of that convention, is sent as minister to Austria, avowedly for the furtherance of the same object.—Farmers of Clinton! can you point to a single effort made to open a market for your wheat and pork? The staple productions of the free states, including your own, many times more valuable in the gross, than the whole of the productions of the South, receive no attention from government to secure them a good market, but the contrary, its agents are actually found to be laboring to diminish their value. It would consume too much space, to enter fully into an enumeration of all the adverse bearings which slavery has upon our pecuniary interests, and we are compelled to notice them only with a passing remark. We would call to mind, in addition to this, its direct influence, upon the value of the products of our labor; the amount it costs us as a nation, to sustain it by a standing army, to suppress insurrections, to hunt under the dignified appellation of the Florida war, the runaway negro who shall escape to the everglades of that peninsula, and the prospective increase of expenditure, to fit out and maintain a Home squadron, to protect the coast-wise slave-trade from our Capital, and that of the "ancient dominions," to the South west.

Can any doubt that the emancipation of the slaves would remove our pecuniary difficulties? Change the two millions of consumers whom we now have to assist the slaves to support in idleness, into producers, and the free labor of the north is at once relieved from an annual tax, which we have shown to be in all probability one hundred millions of dollars. Reduce the expenses of the government, the amount which they expend to continue the negro in bondage, and you strike off an annual tax upon our resources, of from ten to twenty millions more. Employ the diplomacy of the government, in the effort to open foreign markets to the reception of free grown products.—Last, but not least, change the three millions of slaves, now permitted but a bare subsistence, into customers, privileged to consume in the purchase of our products, such a proportion as they

may please of their earnings, and the cry of "hard times," will be banished from our happy country for centuries to come.

We may next consider the political results to ourselves, of the existence of slavery in a portion of our country. Although professing to be republicans, and as such, the enemies of slavery wherever it may exist, we find ourselves in the inconsistent position, that should the slaves of the South rise in insurrection, our services may constitutionally be brought into requisition to sustain slavery, and to fight against those who strike for freedom! Not content to make us passive sufferers by the aggression upon our interests above hinted at, this institution demands of us to sustain by our blood and treasure, the system which destroys our prosperity and from which, supposing it did not, we could derive no possible advantage! We who hold in truth and verity, "that all men are born free and equal," stand as armed sentinels to prevent the adoption and enjoyment by others, of the first principle in our political creed! As a fit reward for such subserviency, we find that however free and equal we may have been born, the same power which has placed us in this inconsistent position, denies to us an equality of privileges in the government of our country. Our professed republic, when examined, turns out to be a government constituted by a privileged order—an aristocracy by the Constitution.—Will any deny this? Look at that provision of the constitution which bases the representation in Congress, and through it, the electoral vote for President, on a certain class of population, and three-fifths of all other persons. One portion of the people deprives another portion of the exercise of our rights, natural as well as political, and then claims to itself, and exercises political power, upon the strength of this iniquitous proceeding, and our government sanctions the claim! If this be a republic, we confess we do not understand what is meant by the term. The aristocracy of the South have now twenty five votes in Congress, and for President of the United States, in virtue of their peculiar privileges, and this number, under the daily expected new apportionment bill will be increased. It is by their possession of these peculiar privileges, that the South has been enabled to give us our President, for 40, out of 52 years—to engross the principal offices of the country—to appoint slaveholders, chiefly, as foreign ministers—to pervert the legislation of the country into a protection to slave, and prostration of free labor—to subserve the same end by our foreign diplomacy, and in fine, to promote the interests of the aristocracy, by every species of oppression of the people until the country is on the verge of ruin. It were tedious to enumerate the various grievances under which we suffer, through the influence of this accursed system. The right of petition guaranteed to us by the Constitution, basely denied us, and our own representative, especially selected as a candidate because he was believed to be favorable to the right, and in possession of the confidence of anti-slavery men, sustaining—thus dishonoring while he misrepresents the district—that provision of the same instrument, which declares that the citizens of each state shall be entitled to all the privileges and immunities of citizens in the several states," practically nullified—the attempt boldly, and in many instances successfully made to control the legislation of the free states—the corruption of the morals of the people, by which through the agency of mobs, and otherwise, the security to life and property is daily lessening—the prevailing and increasing profligacy in the management of private affairs, which has extended to, and has strongly marked the conduct of our public officers—these are a few of the prominent evils under which we suffer, from its wide, prevailing influence.

But it is time we approached the consideration of the measures we propose to advocate, in the accomplishment of our object. Many of these are set forth in the address of the Liberty convention, assembled at Columbus, already alluded to. We incline to the belief, that to reform radically the administration of the general government, to bring it back into harmony with the principles upon which it was formed, and the intention of its founders, would be to strike a death blow at slavery in the States, and the people thereof would be compelled to emancipate. Thus we should get rid of the political and pecuniary evils of which we complain. But should these means fail to secure our object, we would go a step further than is proposed by the authors of that address. We hold with Mr. Madison, that under the clause of the constitution, which authorizes Congress to "provide for the common defense and promote the general welfare," that body possesses power to abolish slavery in the several States. That patriotic Statesman, a slaveholder himself, and termed by the country at large, "the Father of the Constitution," used the following unequivocal language, in the first Congress under the Constitution May 13th 1789. "It is a necessary duty of general government to protect every part of the empire against danger, as well internal, as external. 'Every thing therefore, which tends to increase this danger; though it may be a local affair, yet if it involves national expense, or safety, becomes of concern to every part of the union, and is a proper subject for the consideration of those charged with the administration of the government.' We presume there are none who will be so weak, as to deny with the late Gen. Hayne of South Carolina, that slavery does weaken the Union, although a 'local affair'—that it endangers the 'national safety.' We have already shown that it involves an enormous national expense, and consequently, by the authority just quoted, Congress has the power to abolish it. We do not feel sure that a reform of the Government will effect what we desire, and need, without this step, and hence we advocate it. We know that many are associated with us in the enterprise of reform, so far as proposed in the address of the Columbus convention, and those of high authority, will repudiate this doctrine. The majority of them will deny the expediency of its promulgation, but we cannot consent to compromise principles, to obtain numerical strength. Nor is this the extent to which we are prepared to advance. Failing through the interposition of the Supreme Court, or otherwise, to effect the

abolition of slavery which implies approbation, had inspired the hope that their contributions would be used in sustaining slaveholding missions. But that hope is dashed by that public declaration that for six years you have known that one of your missionaries was a slaveholder, and that you have his word for it, that others are similarly situated. To make the an-

nouncement more striking to those friends of universal liberty whose inquiries have been so importunate for some years past, you say that "till within a few weeks when a number of enquires have been made on the subject to which the letter relates, there seemed to be no call to which the successor should say so, when he is living some thousand miles distant from them, without the possibility of knowing how they are used, it would be rather provoking. Let us suppose then that if sold, they would fall into the hands of a master of the worst kind—one who, after seeing them strip of all the rights and privileges which distinguish men from brutes, and christians from heathen, puts them under the care of an overseer, and then removes himself to another hemisphere, where their cries can never reach him, where he can never count the stripes inflicted for fulfilling their cruel tasks, nor count the lashes on their bare backs, which the laws of the land mete out to them, every time they are caught reading the scriptures, or hearing the gospel preached by a minister whose authority was not certified by the driver.

In reference to Mr. Wilson's information, that some of his brethren are slaveholders, you remark that if this is a fact you were not aware of it. You surely did not intend to tell the world that you have so little confidence in his veracity that, when he lodges the information with you, in his own hand writing, and over his own signature, there is still no presumption that it is true, and therefore you do not think it worth while to ask for other names as proof. The remark was obviously intended to quiet those recent inquirers, by assuring them that you are so far from being unfriendly to the "peculiar institution," that even when a respectable informer tells you that some of your missionaries are slaveholders, you look up the information in your drawer, and never think of it for six years; and even when you notice inquiries, the reply will be—we were not aware of it. A poor compliment to the informer; but very satisfactory, no doubt to some inquirers.

It was a matter of course that the letter should appear in the Observer. According to a late statement by the editor, that paper has an unusually extensive circulation in the South. His readers will bear him witness, that the letter could not have been put into better hands to convert it into capital for securing Southern favor. Accordingly it is published under the following caption.—"A Slaveholding Missionary." Then follows the information that it was intended for the correction of certain editors, &c., who have been troublesome to the Board; and especially to "assist those who are inquiring whether or not it is a sin in all cases to be the owner of slaves." The patriarchs will be very unreasonable, if they are not satisfied with the standard of morals adopted by the editor and the Board, when they are assured that you wish all who have not made up their minds to be guarded by the example and apologetics of a slaveholding missionary.

With full knowledge of the fact that Mr. Wilson was holding a number of Africans in chains as his property, under laws which forbid their enjoyment of the means of salvation, you employed him as a missionary, and selected Africa as the sphere of his operations. Surely that is the best spot on earth where such a man should dare to be seen. How did you expect him to face, as a minister, those mothers and widows in that region whose husbands had been shot down, in the light of their blazing villages, and whose children were plucked from their mother's breasts by American pirates, to satisfy the demand among American ministers and christians for human property? How did you instruct him in reference to this matter? Was he to deny an attempt to connect his own agency in this nefarious business, at the hazard of being detected and exposed? Or was he to tell them honestly that he left behind him in chains, shut out from the light of God's salvation, as many of their children and friends as the laws of the land had put in his power? And did you expect the apology which you have published for the edification of the churches on this continent, to be equally satisfactory, to the bereaved mothers and widows in Africa?

But let us hear his apology. The amount of it,—Part of his slaves were obtained by inheritance, or to his own expression, they were inflicted on him 20 years before he was born, the rest by marriage—they were not emigrants, and the laws forbid their emancipation on the soil. But the proceeds of their labor for three years is to be laid up for them as an inducement to them to leave, and this prospect of being free will prepare them for freedom—he cannot think of carrying them away to liberty in chains—and as to giving them a certificate of their freedom, where they may be in danger of being sold into slavery, the very thought of it quite overcomes him. He says—"could I ever forgive myself, if I should knowingly, cause them to be torn forcibly and violently from every thing they hold dear on earth, and placed in the power of a master who might treat them with merciless harshness."

The first thing which strikes us in this apology, is, that it is the discovery, that it is one of the ways of the Holy One to place his children (and some times 20 years before they are born) in circumstances which compel them to sin against God, and to injure their neighbors both in their temporal and eternal interests! We do not wish to be severe. But there is something so originally wicked in this, that we cannot but think that the Evil One must have invented it, for the special behoof of some slaveholding master who had just made up his mind that he would never repent. A little child knows that God never compels men to sin. And every man of common sense knows that all the wicked law-makers in the world, and all the devils in hell combined together, cannot force a Christian to be guilty of slaveholding, or drunkenness, or murder, or any other transgression, provided they have grace enough in their hearts "to resist unto blood striving against sin."

But it is insisted that he was in peculiar circumstances, and that the welfare of his slaves requires that he should keep the chain riveted on them. We care not what his circumstances were, nor how peculiar. It is a precious sample of slaveholding theology, that the question whether it is right to displease God by injuring our poor neighbors, even to the taking away of all their personal rights, and the ordinary means of their souls' salvation, is a mere question of expediency to be decided by our circumstances, and the amount of good to be done by it. We are determined to keep cool. We shall only therefore say, that if you send out all your missionaries to heathen lands, furnished for their work, with such theology as this, you need never be afraid of losing any by martyrdom.

Let us see what would be the appalling consequences, were he to give his slaves a certificate of their freedom on the soil. He tells us they would immediately be in danger of being taken up and sold into slavery. True, and then they would be precisely in the same comfortable con-

dition in which their present master left them when he went to Africa. Ah! but they might fall into the hands of bad masters. There's no danger. Their present master assures us that he uses them well; and no doubt, his successor could tell the same story. But if that successor should say so, when he is living some thousand miles distant from them, without the possibility of knowing how they are used, it would be rather provoking. Let us suppose then that if sold, they would fall into the hands of a master of the worst kind—one who, after seeing them strip of all the rights and privileges which distinguish men from brutes, and christians from heathen, puts them under the care of an overseer, and then removes himself to another hemisphere, where their cries can never reach him, where he can never count the stripes inflicted for fulfilling their cruel tasks, nor count the lashes on their bare backs, which the laws of the land mete out to them, every time they are caught reading the scriptures, or hearing the gospel preached by a minister whose authority was not certified by the driver.

We are told that if the slaves were emancipated on the soil, they would not only be exposed to public sale, but "forcibly and violently torn from all that they hold dear on earth." And where would they be taken to? If to another slave state it would not change their condition, as it regards either personal rights, or religious privileges. But perhaps, unless a minister should get hold of them, they might be removed to some free state, where they would cease to be slaves, and where they might become heirs of God's salvation. And, no doubt, that would break the hearts of more masters than one. Not that our missionary has any repugnance to the idea of Africans getting to heaven. But then the thought of violence! Twenty years' residence in a slave state has satisfied us that slaveholders have a peculiar abhorrence of violence towards their slaves, when it might change their present condition, or their prospects for eternity. The standing apology for their absence from family worship, and the house of God on the Lord's day is—"they will not attend unless we use violence." Yet we noticed that they easily succeed in getting them to the tobacco patch, or cotton field, by that affectionate reasoning, and mild persuasion, for which drivers have a peculiar talent. Mr. Wilson thinks that to get his slaves into a free state, "it would be necessary that their hands and feet should be fastened with iron fetters and carried, as their fathers were from Africa." Well, it would be a rare sight. And we say as Cowper said of another sight; "May I be there to see!" We imagine the road lined with slaveholders with their white handkerchiefs, weeping at the sight of men in chains, playing "Hail Columbia," on their way to freedom. But we are charitable enough to believe that his statement is true. We have long known that even some slaves who have ministers for their masters, are systematically so educated and trained, that with all their innate love of liberty, and notwithstanding that the slave system is crushing them to the dust, it scares them to think of being in a country where there is no slavery to protect people! His statement disposes us to give credence to the story of a late pilgrim to Canada, that in his neighborhood, the black people, to prevent them from running away, are daily told by their master and mistress, that if they find beyond the protection of slave laws, the "French people" will kill them and eat them like frogs.

But it appears that separation from the soil, irrespective of the means, is an insuperable difficulty in the way of their emancipation. He says they prefer bondage on their native soil to freedom in other parts of the world. We admit that attachment to one's native land, is sometimes very strong. And we are prepared to tell Mr. Gurley, that black people have as good a right as white people to say where they will live, and where their bones shall lie when they are dead. But how long does Mr. Wilson intend to live? Does he not know that at his death, his successor can take them where he pleases. Nay he assures you that removal from all they hold dear on earth, to some part of the world where liberty, in their estimation is worse than bondage on their native soil, shall not be postponed till his death. He intended to do it himself within three years from the date of his letter. His plan is, in substance this—as a means of preparing them for freedom, he intends to keep back every cent of their wages for three years. At the end of that term he intends to take a bag filled with dollars

culated to induce multitudes to forego the use of this right, who, were it denied, would most surely exercise it.

LIBERTY MEN IN THE EAST.

Some of our friends in the West, have expressed a strong distrust of the integrity of the men connected with the Liberty party in the East, and of the Editor of the *Emancipator*.—We have declared our dissent from the propriety of the address to the slaves, and regret that the editor of the *Emancipator* should countenance the policy of confounding the Liberty party with the Abolition organization. But, we venture to say that the Liberty men of the East, with the exception of a very few, are as true and honest as any in our ranks. Differences of opinion must be adjusted by conference and experience. There should be no dictation, no unbrotherly denunciation, no impeachment of motive, no pretensions to superiority in any section of our ranks, no assumption of infidelity.

STATISTICS OF EDUCATION &c.

In the subjoined tables, we have compared different sections of the Union, in point of education. The first column gives the whole population, the second, the absolute number of white persons over 21, who can neither read nor write, the third, the proportionate number.

Eastern Free States.

6,760,022, 97,818, 1 in 67
Western Free States.

2,968,000, 105,988, 1 in 28

Eastern Slave States.

3,826,323, 183,165, 1 in 20
The Free States,

9,728,922, 203,806, 1 in 47
The Slave States,

7,333,644, 345,879, 1 in 21

This, however, does not present a fair view of the absolute amount of ignorance in the slave states. The slave-population in round numbers is 2,487,000; the free colored, in the slave states, 200,000. Adults we may reckon at 1,500,000, of whom we do not suppose that more than 150,000 can read and write. In the slave states then, with a population of 7,333,644, the absolute number of adult persons who can neither read nor write, is, about 1,700,000, or one in every four. If in our estimate of the free states, we include the free colored population of 186,000, setting down the adults at 75,000, and conceding that two thirds of the neither read nor write, the proportion then of the entire population will stand, one to every 39, instead of 47.

Indeed, this is the only fair way of making an estimate of the amount of education in the community. The colored population is an essential part of it—its intelligence will advance, its ignorance retard, the welfare of the whole.

Behold then what slavery does for letters—one in every four persons in the slave states, who can neither read nor write!

We wish the Serviles of the free states would now answer one question. On what are founded the pretensions of the slaveholders to rule this Union—pretensions to which the people of the North have so long submitted?

On their numerical strength? They number scarcely 300,000, and the people with whom they are more immediately connected, are a small minority of the whole population.

On their wealth? In agricultural wealth they are behind the people of the free states; in commerce and manufactures, there is scarcely room for comparison.

On their moral worth? The question is mockery.

On their abundant charities? Probably, three fourths of all the contributions to the benevolent and religious institutions of the land come from the free states.

On their value as customers of the North?—It is estimated that the slave states now owe the free, \$200,000,000; a debt they will never be able to pay.

On their genius? Seven-eighths of all the American authors of any note in Literature or Science, are born, and flourish North of Mason's and Dixon's line.

On their intelligence? One in every four persons of their adult population, can neither read nor write.

On their ability for good government?—The country has been controlled by them for the last 20 years, and what is the result?

Why then, we ask, are these men allowed to fill a large majority of the most important offices of the government, and to make its administration subservient to the interests of Slavery, at the expense of the honor and welfare of the whole nation? In addition to the facts we furnished on this subject a few weeks since, take the following—

The slave states have 35 per cent. of the white population, and about 38 per cent. of the federal. The free states supply most of the sailors in our merchant ships, and men of war. But, the President of the United States, is from Virginia; the Secretary of the Navy, from Virginia; his chief clerk, from Virginia; the president of the navy commissioners, from Virginia; their chief clerk, from Maryland; Senior Captain of the Navy, from Virginia; Col. commandant of the Marine Corps, from Virginia. The proportion of captains from the slave states, is, 44 per cent.; commanders, 29 per cent.; Lieutenants, 51 per cent.; passed midshipmen, 46 per cent.; midshipmen, 47 per cent.; surgeons and assistants, 42 per cent.; and, according to the Washington correspondent of the New York Evangelist, Judge Upshur, (in addition to the number of midshipmen appointed among the states by law,) has recently appointed thirty two, of whom fifteen are taken from Virginia, and the remaining seventeen from Maryland, Delaware and the District of Columbia. These are mere illustrations of the complete supremacy of the Slave Power.

We ask the dough-faces of the North again—

on what do you ground the claim of your masters, the slaveholders, to rule this Union?

THE WIND CHANGED.

“Dr. Bailey we love, aye! honor for his devotion to freedom; but still say as we did, that his intentions judged of in the light of his article, ‘looked like a direct and bold attempt to sell the abolitionists of Ohio to one of the parties.’ Dr. Bailey has since explained his language in a late short article, (but we never retracted a single position we had taken, Ed. Phil.) and we cheerfully withdraw our charge; having no difference with him in the light of this explanation.”—*Madison County Abolitionist*—April 19th.

Our friend having thus withdrawn his charge, we immediately penned a little paragraph, expressing our satisfaction, and apologizing for a severe thrust we made at him. I felt sorry that I had been so sharp towards one who loved and honored me so much. Alas! if I could only have despatched this friendly missile on the wings of some aerial messenger, how much mischief might have been prevented! Passers living so far apart as the Philanthropist and Abolitionist ought never to quarrel.

In the Abolitionist of the 19th, I was loved & honored—in the same paper of the 26th, I was represented as any thing but a lovable object. In the interval between the dates the editor had received my article on the Address to the Slaves, in which was that most unlucky reference to himself. That was the only *personality* in it. The purpose of the article was to show, that the advice to the fugitive slave to take in the *free* states, a horse or boat, or whatsoever he thought “absolutely necessary” to his escape, was wrong. That the slave had a perfect right to take from his master whatever was necessary to his flight, we held was true, on two grounds—his master was at war with him, and his *wages had been withheld* from him. These circumstances in relation to the *free* states, did not exist, and this we endeavored to show.—Evidently, then, the difference between us, and the supporters of the morality of that advice was, simply, a question of *fact*, not of *principle*. Allowing that we were in error, on that point, which we do not believe, we see not how we could be denounced as wanting in *principle*.

But, our friend the Abolitionist is not apt at discrimination. So, in the very number succeeding that, in which he had loved and honored us for our *devotion to freedom*, and rejoiced that there was no difference between us, in regard to the great enterprise, he comes out and denounces us as almost utterly wicked, because we happened most unfortunately to differ with him on a question of *fact*, or, as some might maliciously say, because the Philanthropist, containing an apology for the unlucky adventure aforesaid, did not reach him in time.—For the benefit of our friend, so that he may review his comments of the 26th, which he says were penned rather hurriedly, (there is no doubt of it,) we will give him an abstract of them.

Our article on the address to the slaves, is “pre-eminently for sophistry”—“weak, very weak.”

He puts the Philanthropist and the New York Observer in the same category.

He sneeringly calls us a “Liberal Party editor,” and charges us,

With “sewing pillows under the armholes” of the pro-slavery men of Ohio:

With resort to “subterfuges.”

With “making concessions” to a population that lately tumbled our press into the river:

With offering “concessions” to politicians unworthy anti-slavery editor:

With turning a deaf ear to the cries of innocent men shut up in Palmyra prison:

With being decidedly opposed to the sentiment, that men are better than horses:

With consulting our position and ease,” at the expense of great truths:

With being “trammeled by expediency.”

With playing the “Aristocrat.”

Advises us to retrace our course, as if we were an apostate, and makes one sweeping charge against us as being capable of any wickedness. Thus—“The man, who for expediency's sake, can do what Dr. Bailey has done, can do whatever expediency demands, even to telling the *masses* they have not deprived the slave of liberty.”

And yet this very man, he loved, aye! honored, just one week before, for his *devotion to freedom*! Well—all we have to say is, friend Jackson appears to a much more reasonable man on the 19th of April than on the 26th.

On the 19th he gave a little advice to himself, me and the rest of his brethren, and of course will not be offended, if I quote the following from an ancient Greek poet, as equally applicable to us all—

“To err is human; tis the common lot
Of frail mortality: and be alone
I'se wise and happy, who, when ills are done,
P'rsists not, but would heal the wounds he
made:
But self-sufficient obstancy ever,
Is folly's utmost height.”

BRANCH MINTS.

The Committee on Public Expenditures have made curious development with regard to the operations of the Branch Mints of the U. S. These are, at Charlotteville, N. C., Dahlonega, Ga., & New Orleans, La. They are a useless burthen on the government. They were got up, ostensibly for the sake of coining more money; but really for disbursing more of Uncle Sam's treasures in the Slave States. The last purpose they have answered admirably well.

According to the Committee, the \$2,884,708 coined at these mints having cost the Government, \$3,703,165,72 or, \$1,28,3 for each dollar!

The total cost of erecting and sustaining the mints is \$822,457.72. The officers of the branch mint at New Orleans, particularly, have lived like princes. With a proper contempt for that niggardly economy which disgraces the free states, they have laid out for baths, wat-

er-closets, and flagging the yard and side walk of the mint, sixteen thousand eight hundred and thirty nine dollars, and twenty eight cents!

The following items of expenditures will show the luxurious style in which these servants of the country have been in the habit of living.

Five mahogany arm chairs, \$10,25 each	\$51.25
Thirty mahogany chairs, 5 each	150.00
Six Grecian chairs	15.00
Mahogany desks and tables	400.00
One rose-wood desk	22.00
Carpet, Brussels and others	37.50
One pair porcelain spit boxes	8.00
Two inkstands, 10 each	20.00
One inkstand, bureau	210.00
A bust of Mr. Livingston	40.00
One table	40.00
One red foolscap paper	10.00
One do do	9.00
Penknives, each 3.50 to 4.50	Penknives, each 3.50 to 4.50
Trees, shrubs and flowers	23.50
One desk curtain	14.00
Ice	85.58
Mahogany boards for a counter	92.98
Two bronze paper weights	10.75
One eagle do do	7.00
Stuffed mahogany chair	13.00
Large lamp for lobby	73.50
Travelling expenses of one of the officers in the season	275.00
Bookcase and desk	258.00
A supply of water for the mint, per annum \$250 to	317.00

But, this is not all. The hard earnings of the people must go to pay these gentry for cultivating plants &c. Look at the following items.

J. Brunet, for sundry plants, namely	
6 dwarf pear trees, at 72 cents	4.50
6 dwarf apple trees, at 75 cents	4.50
6 durable almond trees	3.00
5 lilacs at 50 cents	2.50
3 plain laurel	1.50
4 rose bushes	2.00
2 snow balls	1.00
5 synchyrus carpon	2.50
4 spina	2.00
3 serringua	1.50
7 Japan oucaba	3.50
4 yellow tassaine	2.00
3 Hortensia, at 25 cents	75
2 cowslips	50
4 campanula	1.00
7 silver-button bushes	1.75
1 fox	25
1 Julianas	25
2 jug	50

S. Bremon, for trees, &c., namely:

204 cedar and one dozen live oak	\$20.00
Different trees	20.00
Orange trees	50
Pear trees	6.00
A quantity of lumber	8.00
Sundry trees	5.00
Sundry flowers	5.00
Trees	15.25
Candlestick	4.50
Trees assorted	5.00
This amount paid John Doyle, for orange trees and plum trees	59.75
Sundry trees	8.00

So much for branch mints in the slave states. And to sustain these and similar ridiculous expenditures, the people of this country must be taxed in the shape of a tariff some 30 or 40 per cent. If the slaveholders should succeed in forcing Congress to establish a national army, or a naval depot in the slave states, it may be well to make definite appropriations, for the establishment of baths, and pleasure grounds, the purchase of busts, pictures, and ornamental furniture, and also for the travelling expenses of such of the officers as may wish to go abroad on purposes of pleasure, or *electioneering*.

PROPOSED BRITISH TARIFF.

The proposed reduction of the British tariff on certain articles, is welcomed by many in this country, as being calculated to throw a damper on the operations of the Home League. The whig press undervalues its importance, & thinks that the agricultural interests of this country will gain little from it. For one, we rejoice in the movement for reduction. It is a concession to the wants of the laboring masses in England. It will open the way for still further concessions.

It will certainly stimulate the agricultural interests of the free states, and multiply the bonds of interest between this country and Great Britain,

thus lessening the chances of a rupture in their friendly relations.

With appearances thus auspicious on the part of Great Britain, we do not wish to see a general system of countervailing duties adopted by our government. For effecting further changes, it would be better to rely on the force of *negotiation* than of *retaliation*.

The following table will show some of the principal articles on which the duties are proposed to be reduced.

Present	Proposed.	Rate.	Foreign.	Colonial.
Bacon, cwt. 28 0	14.0	3.6		
Beef, salt (if not corn), cwt. 12 0	8.0	2.0		
Beef, fr. or sl'ty salt cwt, prob'l'd</				

POETRY.

For the *Philanthropist*.

The Fugitive.

What means you fair like and lonely bark,
So swiftly bounding o'er the waters dark
Of beautiful Ohio's tranquil breast,
Like timid bird just frightened from its nest?
Now gently tips the way the dripping oar,
Now quickly plunges deeper than before,
While at each upward stroke a shower bright
As orient pearl beneath the noonday light,
Is sprinkled round. The boat with stealthy oar,
Glides swiftly on and nears Virginia's shore—
But starts away again, with rapid bound,
For watchers now are prowling all around,
Beneath the hill that skirts the river side,
And o'er the waters flings its shadow wide,
It drifted down the stream until a cove
Formed by a mountain brook, hid by a grove
Of tall dark forest trees appeared in view,
'Vironed by bush, and rock; and thither flew
The strange and tiny boat, now hid from sight
But stranger still, two maidens from it spring
And more the skiff beneath the covert wing,
Of weeping willows drooping in the wave
As bending o'er some wood-symply the lonely grave;
And as they stopped to breathe the cooling air,
Each maiden seemed an Ellen Douglass there.
With glowing cheek and anxious list'ning ear,
And scanning eye that spoke of banished fear,
Why are they there in that wild place, so lone?
That wood-land mass across the path had grown?
'Tis mercy's angel bids them onward speed,
A weary, huddled, starving slave to feed.
He was a slave, but eas'd away the chain,
Preferring rather exile's lingering pain.
To find him somewhere in the rocky glen,
With baskets on their arms they sought him then,
And oft as greet their ears the distant sounds
Of watching sentinels upon their rounds,
They stop and listen till the echoes die;
Then with a quicker step they onward fly,
Through forest-glede and round the hill-side steep,
Where silent nature slept the deathlike sleep,
That soon must wake with sounds of conflict new,
Between God's freeman to his nature true.
And those who dare to crush a heart so bold,
Then boast their buster of a soul for gold.
They found not him, and in a saddened mood,
Placed in a hollow log for him the food,
And breathed with yearning hearts the silent prayer,
That Heaven might guide his wandering foot-steps there.
Then fearful lest some jealous eye was near,
As sounds of human voices met the ear;
They slowly strolled along with careless air,
Each plucking flowers to deck the other's hair,
And raising laughter's wild and joyous note,
Until they reached the cove and gained the boat,—
And landed once again on freedom's soil,
The throbbing of each heart repaid its toil.
Such are Ohio's freemen I ween;
When mercy prompts, or duty's path is seen;
Alas! that now it should have been in vain,
The noble slave who would not wear a chain,
Was doomed to fail unaided—not unwept,
And God the record of his wrongs has kept.

For the *Philanthropist*.

"We could not be a slave,"
He was a giant mind and in that soul,
Writhing with anguish, brooking no control,
There burned a spark of intellect's bright fire,
Kindled by God and never to expire,
Too proud, that spirit, daring, bold and high,
To wear the chain of slavery with a sigh;
Though in a tyrant's power, with none to save,
His heart rebelled, he could not be a slave.
With cold contempt he moved among the throng
Who knew no higher doom than slavery's wrong,
And bitter scorn would curl his lip the while,
For one he called his master, with a smile.
"Twas e'er the hour of midnight and the slave
Started with purpose deep; solemn and grave,
He stood a moment, gazed with anguished look
On those he'd loved from childhood—then he took
One last farewell of kindred, friends and all,
Muttering freedom, freedom's death, my pall.
The memory of a thousand cruel wrongs
Deep rankled in his breast, and bury thoughts,
Of wakened passions, calling for redress
He bade be still, and nobly did repres.
"Twas not revenge he sought, but freedom's boon;
And though the night was drear, and hid the moon,
He fled; and guided by the northern star,
His bosom swelled, for liberty was there.
The morning came, and with it blank dismay,
To those who held by tyrants' cruel sway,
A sceptre, not alone o'er flesh and blood,
But crushed the immortal mind, of its God.
Now mingled sounds in wild confusion clash,
And furious horse-men o'er the highway dash;
While well trained blood-hounds through the by-paths
fly—

"The wretch! dead or alive," the startling cry.
What human arm can save him in this hour!
O, Heaven! pray shield him from his maddened power!
H! whereunto starts he from his rocky bed?
In that dread sound he hears a human tread!
Now crouching low amid the tall rank grass,
He hears their muttered curses as they pass,
Again he breathes, again the curled blood
Comes to his heart, thus ebb the living flood,
One piercing glance around he madly throws
His spirit yet untamed by bitter woes,
Soars on, nor rests nor stays its rapid flight
Till sheltered by the power of Britain's might.
Beneath the guard hill his foemen lay.
Where proud Ohio sweeps its onward way,
Beyond he sees the land where slavery dies—
And hope, and dark despair alternate rise.
Three weary days and nights have sped their round,
Nor other food the famished man has found,
Than berries wild, plucked 'neath some covert nook
Or insect small caught from the mountain brook.
And think they lest to crush that spirit bold,
Who buy and sell the immortal man for gold!
In vain its conquering power may famine try,
Than yield, that negro now would rather die.
The sun had passed its zenith far, and thrown
Its shadow across the ravine where alone,
Yet like the hunted lion, desperate, calm,
He seeks a covert from the impeding storm.
No sound is heard nor sign of coming harm,
The wind is hushed, nor raises one alarm;
Nor Afric's son such long suspense could brook
But, gnawed by hunger, his lone haunt forsook,
And gliding fast with cautious step around,
The wooded hillsides gained and soon had found
Wild autumn fruits, which to his fainting heart
So tempting seemed, a prince might crave a part.
As drinks the cooling rain the thirsty land,
Parched, 'neath a burning sun to desert sand,
So sweet that ripe fruit tasted to the slave
And strengthened him—it grew on freedom's grave!
But now he stands and lists, with upturned eye—
A rustling leaf tells it of watchers nigh!
'Tis past, 'tis gone, and silence reigns around,
And e'en the smiles at fancies fear hath found.
A wild exulting shout then clef the air,
As though a thousand spirits of despair,

Had broke their prison-bands in triumph new,
And echoing hill proclaimed the victory too,
One look of deep despair—then spoke his eye
The full resolve to conquer or to die,
Fierce was the conflict, dreadful was the strife
For liberty was sweeter far than life.
'Twas desperation served him in that hour,
And frenzied madness heeded not their power.
In wonder then they stood, for his own might
Unarmed had vanquished five in single fight.
They arm, unite, and with one mighty rush
O'erpower the slave, and hope forever crush,
Despair and anguish stung that haughty braw;
His limbs are feeble as an infant's now;
In vain the clanking chain grates in his ears—
No other thought, no other sound he hears;
Than this dread sentence freezing every nerve,
A miner's slave chained 'neath the ground to serve,
Nor once through life these eyes shall see a ray
Of rising moon, or beam of setting day.
'Twas winter's night, and in his cold dark cell,
To rise no more, a tyran's slave he fell;
No friend is near and he is dying now.
Wh'll wipe the clammy sweat from off his brow?
That form is bent, defaced by cruel scars
And stripes 'tis slave's inheritance, 'tis bears;
Despair and pride had him on his vital bed,
His noble heart was broken, reason fled,
And e'er a month had caused its sluggish round,
He was a maniac chained beneath the ground.
Life's low expiring lamp relights a ray
Of intellect, and Ah! he strives to pray;
To God and Heaven he lifts his streaming eyes
Where sacred freedom reigns, then gasps and dies.
Green God of Heaven! stay thy lifted hand,
Let not thy vengeance crush our guilty land;
Ob bid our nation, dyed in slavery's blood,
And steeped in slavery's tears, a living flood;
To cry to thee, with agonizing prayer,
And humbled soul thy threatened rod to spare;
O teach us now to bow each stubborn knee
Wash in thy blood and let the oppressed go free.

The following lines on West India Emancipation are from the pen of Lord Morpeth, who is now on a visit to this country.

ODE ON THE ABOLITION OF SLAVERY.

Proudly on Cressy's tented world
The Lion flag of England flew;
As proudly gleamed in crimson fold
O'er the due heights of Waterloo:

But other lyres shall greet the brave,
Sing now, that we have freed the slave.

The ocean plain, where Nelson bled,
Fair Commerce plies with peaceful oar,
Duteous o'er Britain's clime to shed
The gathered spoil of every shore;

To-day across the Atlantic sea,
Shout, shout ye, that the slave is free.

And Eloquence, in rushing streams,
Has flowed o'er halls and courts along,
Or kindled mid yet lofier dreams
The glowing burst of glorious Song:

Let both their noblest burthen pour,
To tell that Slavery is no more.

Bright Science, through each field of space,
Has urged her mist-dispelling car,
Coy Nature's hidden reign to trace,
To weigh each wind, and count each star:

Yet stay, thou proud Philosophy,
First stoop to bid Mankind be free.

And Freedom has been long our own,
With all her soft and generous train,
To gild the lust of the throne,

And guard the labors of the plain;
Ye heirs of ancient Runnymede!

Four Slaves—O could it be!—are free.

Second Year—Cesar's Commentaries, completed; Jacob's Greek Reader; Antinous' Cicerio; Andrews' Latin Reader; Andrews' Latin Grammars; Andrews' Latin Grammars; Andrews' Latin Grammars; Caesar's Commentaries, begun; Sophocles' Greek Lessons and Greek Grammars.

Third Year—Cooper's or Gould's Virgil; Selections from the Greek Poets; selected portions of the Greek Testament; Algebra, begun; Review of some of the preceding studies.

Fourth Year—Livy; Xenophon's Cycopedia; Cicero de Amicitia and de Senectute; Homer's Iliad, begun; Algebra, completed, and Geometry.

First Year—Review of the English Studies; Andrews' and Stoddard's Latin Grammars; Andrews' Latin Reader; Andrews' Latin Grammars; Caesar's Commentaries, begun; Sophocles' Greek Lessons and Greek Grammars.

Second Year—Cesar's Commentaries, completed; Jacob's Greek Reader; Antinous' Cicerio; Andrews' Latin Exercises and Sophocles' Greek exercises.

Third Year—Cooper's or Gould's Virgil; Selections from the Greek Poets; selected portions of the Greek Testament; Algebra, begun; Review of some of the preceding studies.

Fourth Year—Livy; Xenophon's Cycopedia; Cicero de Amicitia and de Senectute; Homer's Iliad, begun; Algebra, completed, and Geometry.

Mid the drear haunts of Force and Strife,
The Ministers of Peace shall stand,
And pour the swelling words of life.

Around a parched and thirsty land;
While spread beneath the tamarind tree,
Rise, "happy homes and altars free."

Ye isles that court the tropic rays,
Clustered on Ocean's sapphire breast,
Ye feathered bays, ye fairy bays,

In more than fable now "the Blest!"
Wait on each gale your choral strain,
Till every land has rent the chain.

O! England! empires' home and head,
First in each art of peace and power,
Mighty the billows crest to tread,

Mighty to rule the battle-hour—

But mightier to retrieve and save,
Rejoice that thou hast freed the Slave!

For the *Philanthropist*.

What means should we adopt?

DR. BAILEY.—

Some difference of opinion seems to exist as to what plan may be adopted for the liberation of the slave. The question is somewhat perplexed, arising from the utter impossibility of making that which is crooked agree to that which is straight. Slavery is in its very nature crooked, like its prototype the serpent. Civil liberty is in its nature straight. To this impossibility of making slavery and liberty walk and work together, I refer all the absurdities and contradictions of our Federal Court in their late decision. But to the question, what means may we adopt without causing just offence? The means recommended of a political nature are of two kinds—such as are constitutional and such as are not so. Of the former kind, there are chiefly two, the ballot box and an alteration of the Constitution. On the first class all voting abolitionists agree. All agree that voting abolitionists should vote against slavery and for the abolition of the constitution as it stands. But on the latter branch there is some collision. Some eminent in the cause maintain that we may advise the slaves to run off and take the things necessary to their flight. Others who are also eminent are of the opinion that the advice is not good, partly as ill-timed and partly as in itself wrong. Now it does seem to me that the question after all resolves itself into this, shall we go for the abolition of slavery by constitutional means only, or shall we go for its abolition by those that are revolutionary? Am I right? If I am wrong, I am unable to see where I missed the figure. I suppose all believe, that under the constitution, the slave states have as full a political right to their slaves (with shame and national disgrace be it spoken,) as we have to our chattles personal. It follows then that to advise them to run away is revolutionary. This question must be met not with scorn and contempt, nor with fire and fagot, but by free dispassionate discussion. There are some propositions which I will now lay before the friends of our cause.

1st. That abolitionists are verily guilty concerning our brothers and sisters in chains. "We the people" have covenanted and leagued together to keep them uncharged, and uncondemned of crime, in the prison house.
2d. We may adopt no measure in reference to slaveholding which we would think wrong to apply, were we placed as they are. Query, were we circumstanced as they are, having the same constitutional guarantee for the peculiar chateau, would we think it even handed justice in those who declared only for constitutional means to entice away the slaves?
3d. Should we not first try to adopt the constitutional means of an alteration of the constitution, so as to get ourselves out of the sin of slavery and of its support?
4th. Should we not well consider whether we will take any of the steps of revolution before we openly declare for it?
5th. Is the public mind prepared in the free states for revolution? I well know that feeling for the slave, the abridgment of the right of petition, of the press and of the liberty of speech is very past preparing it for such an event; but it is not yet.
6th. In case the North would draw off, would not the result prove fatal to the whites of the South. Some of the slaveholders during the discussion in the case of Mr. Adams declared that their only safety against their slaves lay in the free states.
7th. In such case would not many of the slaves have to exchange slavery for death?
Friends, think of these things in the spirit of meekness and fear, lest we err, and may we all come together in the truth, which alone can give desirable freedom!

S. WALKER.

GRAND RIVER INSTITUTE.

Is situated in Austinburg, Ashtabula county, Ohio. Its object is to promote thorough Physical, Intellectual, Moral and Religious education. By combining manual labor with the training of the mind, it aims to make practical, vigorous scholars.

It is open for the admission of students of both sexes. Applicants are expected to be at least fourteen years old; to furnish satisfactory testimonials that they possess a good moral character, and that they are sufficiently acquainted with the elements of Orthography, Reading, Writing, English Grammar, and Arithmetic, to enter upon the following course of study with advantage, which, when fully completed, will occupy a term of four years, both in the English and Classical Departments. Individuals will be received to advanced standing when able to pass satisfactory examination.

COURSE OF STUDY.

English Department.

First Year.—English Grammer, including analysis of Poetry; Colburn's Mental and Adams' Arithmetical; Modern and Ancient Geography; Arithmetic of the Bible; and Nevin's Biblical Antiquities.

Second Year.—Natural Philosophy; Watts on the Mind; Physiology; Book-keeping; History and Algebra.

Third Year.—Newman's Rhetoric; Burritt's Geography of the Heavens; Geometry; Gray's Chemistry; Intellectual and Moral Philosophy, and Botany.

Fourth Year.—Willard's Universal History; Newmann's Political Economy; Logic; Natural Theology; Butler's Anatomy; Trigonometry and Surveying.

Classical Department.

First Year.—Review of the English Studies; Andrews' and Stoddard's Latin Grammars; Andrews' Latin Reader; Andrews' Latin Grammars; Caesar's Commentaries, begun; Sophocles' Greek Lessons and Greek Grammars.

Second Year—Cesar's Commentaries, completed; Jacob's Greek Reader; Antinous' Cicerio; Andrews' Latin Exercises and Sophocles' Greek exercises.

Third Year—Cooper's or Gould's Virgil; Selections from the Greek Poets; selected portions of the Greek Testament; Algebra, begun; Review of some of the preceding studies.

Fourth Year—Livy; Xenophon's Cycopedia; Cicero de Amicitia and de Senectute; Homer's Iliad, begun; Algebra, completed, and Geometry.

Mid the drear haunts of Force and Strife,
The Ministers of Peace shall stand,
And pour the swelling words of life.

Around a parched and thirsty land;

While spread beneath the tamarind tree,

Rise, "happy homes and altars free."

There are stated exercises in Declamation, Forensic Discussions and Composition for students in both Departments; also a regular course of Biblical instruction.—

There are also weekly lectures upon moral and religious subjects, or upon some of the topics embraced in the preceding course, which all the students have the privilege of attending.

The course of study here presented has been adopted after due deliberation, and extended observations and inquiries respecting the wants of the human mind. It will be seen at once that it cannot be sustained without constant aid from the friends of intelligence, virtue and religion. The hand of charity has indeed been liberally opened to furnish land & buildings, and to meet other expenses incident to the starting of such an enterprise. Much pains is taken to provide for those pursuing Classical studies such works as are best calculated to illustrate the literature of the ancients, and to awaken in the minds of students a proper interest in its beauties; while at the same time the influence of the religious superstitions of those pagan nations on the morals and usages of the people is carefully pointed out and contrasted with the purifying tendencies of Christianity.

The Rev. Doctor Eastmond, of this city, gave a few to a lady, a friend of his, who had been given by her physician and friends in the last stage of Consumption. The first Lozenge gave her considerable relief, so that she was encouraged to persevere in their use; and through the blessing of God they restored her to perfect health.

Mr. Henry S. Barker, 97 Green st., was cured of a very bad cough he suffered from several weeks, by only 5 Lozenge, when all other remedies had no effect, on him.

Mr. G. T. Matthews, S. Caroline st., suffered a year with a very hard, tight, rough, pain in the side, splitting pain of blood and all the usual symptoms of consumption. The Lozenge relieved him immediately, and in a few weeks restored him to perfect health. He says they are the greatest medicine in the world.

Mr. C. H. Armstrong, 97 Green st., was cured of a very bad cough he suffered from several weeks, by only 5 Lozenge, when all other remedies had no effect, on him.

Mr. C. H. Armstrong, 97 Green st., was cured of a very bad cough he suffered from several weeks, by only 5 Lozenge, when all other remedies had no effect, on him.

Mr. C. H. Armstrong, 97 Green st., was cured of a very bad cough he suffered from several weeks, by only 5 Lozenge, when all other remedies had no effect, on him.

Mr. C. H. Armstrong, 97 Green st., was cured of a very bad cough he suffered from several weeks, by only 5 Lozenge, when all other remedies had no effect, on him.

Mr. C. H. Armstrong, 97 Green st., was cured of a very bad cough he suffered from several weeks, by only 5 Lozenge, when all other remedies had no effect, on him.

Mr. C. H. Armstrong, 97 Green st., was cured of a very bad cough he suffered from several weeks, by only 5 Lozenge, when all other remedies had no effect, on him.

Mr. C. H. Armstrong, 97 Green st., was cured of a very bad cough he suffered from several weeks, by only 5 Lozenge, when all other remedies had no effect, on him.

Mr. C. H. Armstrong, 97 Green st., was cured of a very bad cough he suffered from several weeks, by only 5 Lozenge, when all other remedies had no effect, on him.

Mr. C. H. Armstrong, 97 Green st., was cured of a very bad cough he suffered from several weeks, by only